

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tredemark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

HOSAL FILING DATE SADELAIN M ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

MMSK.P-035-US

021121 OPPEDAHL AND LARSON LLP P O BOX 5270 FRISCO CO 80443-5270

HM22/1004

EXAMINER BURKE, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

10/04/99

#14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/940,544

App_nt(s)

Sadelain et al

Examiner

Julie E. Burke, (Reeves), Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1642



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	
	set to expire <u>zero</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever lure to respond within the period for response will cause the ensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	pjected to by the Examiner.
\square The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	•
	es of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	
 received in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: 	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	
Attachment(s)	•
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	er No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC)-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION O	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/940,544

Art Unit: 1642

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to DNA, classified in class 536, subclass 23.4.
 - II. Claims 8-10, drawn to a peptide comprising an antibody variable domain, a signalling domain and a transmembrane domain, classified in class 530, subclass 387.3.
 - III. Claims 11-15, drawn to T cellls expressing a recombinant peptide, classified in class 435, subclass 372.3.
 - IV. Claims 16-20, drawn to a method of transducing in a host an immune response by transducing T cells to express a fusion peptide and introducing the transduced T cells into the host, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I, II and III represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. The polynucleic acid of Group I, the protein product of Group II, the peptide of Group III, and the T cell expressing the peptide of Group III are all structurally and chemically different from each other. The polynucleotide is made by nucleic acid synthesis, while the peptide may be made by chemical synsthesis methods and the host cell is propagated by culture in media. Furthermore, the polynucleotide can be used for hybridization screening, the polypeptide can be used for raising antibodies, the antibody portion of the peptide can be used to immunopurify a polypeptide and the T cell expression

Application/Control Number: 08/940,544 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

system can be used to study the regulation of expression of a promoter using the peptide as a marker protein. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. Patent shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus the inventions I, II and III are patentably distinct.

- 3. Inventions (I, II and III) and Invention IV are related as products and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the the DNA product, the peptide product and the host cell product can be used to practice materially different methods, as set forth above, in addition to the method of inducing the host an immune response to tumor cells. Thus the inventions are patentably distinct.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Nancy Parsons on 30 Sept 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 08/940,544

Art Unit: 1642

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Page 4

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie E. Burke, nee Reeves, Ph.D.

whose telephone number is (703) 308-7553.

4 Burlee

Julie E. Burke, nee Reeves, Ph.D.

September 30, 1999

JULIE BURKE PRIMARY EXAMINER